Vol I 1 - 21

# COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS STATE LOTTERY COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

MOHAMED JAAFAR, Respondent.

Tuesday May 7, 2019

Lottery Commission 150 Mount Vernon Street Dorchester, Massachusetts

BEFORE: LAWRENCE P. MAYO, ESQ.
Serving as Hearing Officer
80 Washington Square, United C20
Norwell, MA 02061

#### **APPEARANCES:**

# For the State Lottery Commission:

GREGORY M. POLIN, ESQ.
Assistant Director and General Counsel
CANDACE HODGE, ESQ.
Associate General Counsel.
The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
State Lottery Commission
150 Mt. Vernon Street, Suite 300
Dorchester, MA 02125

# For the Respondent:

KEVIN M. CONSIDINE, ESQ. Considine & Furey LLP One Beacon Street, 22nd floor Boston, MA 01901 617 723 7200

# PROCEEDINGS

2 (11:21 AM)

MR. MAYO: Good morning, everybody. My name is Larry Mayo and I am the hearing officer in today's hearing. The matter I'll entitled, 'In re: Mohamed Jaafar prize claim suspension hearing.' Massachusetts State Lottery Commission, May 7, 2019. Again, we are here today in the matter of Mohamed Jaafar. Mr. Jaafar is appealing the decision of the Massachusetts State Lottery Commission Compliance Department's decision to impose a prize claim suspension upon Mr. Jaafar suspending his ability to claim lottery prizes with a value of \$600 of more for a period of 90 days.

The Lottery issued a suspension notice to Mr. Jaafar dated April 1, 2019. For the record, we've marked that suspension notice as Exhibit No. 1.

(Exhibit No. 1 was marked into evidence.)

MR. MAYO: That suspension was imposed pursuant to the high-frequency prize winner regulation contained at 961 CMR 2.43(2). Mr. Jaafar is here today exercising his right to a director-level hearing before the prize claim suspension goes into effect. The availability of a director-level hearing is established in 961 CMR 2.43(3). The question before me is whether Mr. Jaafar is a

high-frequency prize winner and whether the submission by Mr. Jaafar of at least 20 claims for Lottery prizes, each with a value of at least \$1,000 within any period of 365 days is factually or statistically improbable.

This is an informal hearing. I'm going to observe the rules of evidence established in Mass. General Law Chapter 30A, Section 11. The parties will have an opportunity to call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits and cross-examine witnesses who testify, and to submit rebuttal evidence.

The Lottery's decision to suspend Mr. Jaafar -- if the Lottery's decision to suspend Mr. Jaafar is supported by the preponderance of the credible evidence, that its decision was justified, then that decision will stand. The Lottery has the burden of proof. I will allow for opening statements and closing statements if the parties so choose. Objections will either be sustained or overruled.

I will have the stenographer administer oaths to all witnesses. At the close of the hearing, I will give each an opportunity to submit briefs if they so choose. And post-hearing briefs would be due within one week after the transcript is issued by the stenographer.

Without further ado, I will have the Lottery present its case.

MR. POLIN: Thank you Mr. Mayo. The Massachusetts

State Lottery Commission voted in November of 2017 to authorize the executive director to promulgate rules and regulations to address high-frequency Lottery prize winners, and on July 27, 2018, 961 CMR 2.43 was amended to include Section 2, which is related to high-frequency prize winners. Patterns of high-frequency Lottery prize claims can defy statistical odds and reason, and the Lottery questions the legitimacy of such activities. The Lottery is mandated to collect outstanding child support liabilities and past due tax liabilities before paying Lottery prize claims in excess of \$600. Winners of such prizes must complete a claim form where they attest that they are not claiming the prize to assist another in the avoidance of financial obligations.

Further, the Massachusetts Lottery law prohibits non-lottery sales agents from selling Lottery tickets and also prohibits the assignment of Lottery prize winnings except under certain enumerated circumstances.

If high-frequency prize winners are not acquiring these Lottery tickets legitimately, the Lottery has reason to believe that some or all of these winning Lottery tickets are being acquired through means that violate or otherwise do not comply with the Lottery law and/or other laws, and the Lottery wants to discourage illegitimate activities.

Unless the suspendee, Mr. Jaafar, can explain how he is acquiring these winning lottery tickets legitimately,

the Lottery will request the director's designee, Attorney Mayo, to affirm the Compliance Department's imposition of the prize claim suspension. If it is not or statistically or factually probable that the suspendee, Mr. Jaafar, is acquiring these tickets legitimately, the Lottery's prize claim suspension should be affirmed.

The hearing is being held pursuant to 961 CMR

2.43(2) concerning high-frequency prize winners.

'high-frequency prize winner' is defined in 961 CMR 2.03 as a person who submits at least 20 claims for Lottery prizes, each with a value of at least \$1,000 within any period of 365 days. In this case, Mohamed Jaafar has claimed 391 Lottery prize claims of \$1,000 or more during the period of July 27, 2018 to January 27, 2019. The total amount of these

By the definition in the regulation, Mohamed

Jaafar is a high-frequency prize winner. During this

hearing, the Lottery plans to have two witnesses that will

discuss the Compliance Department's review of Mr. Jaafar's

prize claims. And at this point I'd like to call my first

witness. Maureen McHugh, please?

#### MAUREEN McHUGH, Sworn

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. POLIN:

prize payments was \$532,222.25.

Q Hello, Miss McHugh. Would you please state and

spell your name for the record?

- A Maureen McHugh. McHugh is M-c-capital H-u-g-h.
- Q And what is your position at the Lottery?
- A I'm a Compliance analyst.
- Q How long have you worked to the Lottery?
- A Since May of 2000.
  - Q How long have you worked in -- what department do you work in?
  - A Compliance Department. For the entire employment, I've been in the Compliance Department.
    - Q How long have you had your current title for?
- A Since May 2000.
  - Q Can you tell us why the Lottery's Compliance
    Department has moved to impose a Lottery prize claim
    suspension against Mohamed Jaafar?
  - A Based on the new regulation, we were asked to review our data to make sure people were in complying with the regulations. So, based on a monthly document that we produce for the Department of Revenue and IRS of all claims claimants filed by individuals of 20 or more for \$1,000 in a calendar year, we send that list over to the Department of Revenue and IRS monthly. So, we started with that list and identified people that we believed were high-frequency cashers.
    - Q During the course of this review, did the

Compliance Department review any reports of Mohamed's Jaafar's claiming history?

A Yes, we did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. POLIN: I'd like to submit a report to be an exhibit.

MR. MAYO: I think we have stipulated based on the admissibility of the report. This should be Exhibit 2.

(Exhibit 2 was marked into

evidence.)

MR. POLIN: For the record, it is a seven-page exhibit.

BY MR. POLIN:

- Q Miss. McHugh, did the Compliance Department review this document?
  - A Yes, we did.
- Q Can you tell us what this document is?
- 17 A It is a listing of all claims filed by Mr. Jaafar 18 between July 27, 2018 and January 27, 2019.
  - Q And if you can just go line-by-line, there is a number of different columns here. The first line is first name?
    - A Yes. First name, last name. The next column is street. We blacked that out. And then the city and state that Mr. Jaafar lives in. And the zip code. And then the next column, the pay date is the date that the claim was

paid. The gross amount is the amount of the winnings. The net amount is the amount minus the taxes or anything else that was deducted. The office is the location -- the Lottery office that the ticket was cashed at.

- Q So, for example, what does 'WOB' stand for?
- 6 A Woburn.

Q Thank you.

A And then the next column is name of the sales agent that the ticket was purchased at. And then the address and city; and then the product name is the type of Lottery product that was cashed. And if it is was an instant ticket or a scratch ticket, the game and book number is included.

- Q Just for clarity purposes, can you describe what an 'instant game' is?
- A It is a scratch ticket.
- Q Thank you. And on the last page of this document, is there a grand total of the number of claims and payments during this period?
- A Yes. It was 391 payments for a total of \$532,222.25.
- Q And you mentioned earlier the stores that were listed on this spreadsheet, and you mentioned that these are the locations where the tickets were sold, correct?
- 24 | A Yes.
  - Q Can you discuss the significance in that, if any,

of these different sales agent locations?

A The tickets were sold at numerous agent locations at different places in Massachusetts. Water, Melrose, Worcester, Brighton, Chelsea, Charlestown and various other cities or towns.

Q What is the significance of these different locations?

A The significance is it I not a typical pattern for a Lottery player; people usually have favorite stores, or they play in a specific area. They are not normally traveling all over the Commonwealth buying tickets.

MR. POLIN: Thank you. I don't have any more questions at this time, but I would like to recall Miss McHugh later.

MR. CONSIDINE: I just may have one or two.

# CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. CONSIDINE:

Q Miss McHugh, can you tell me in your role in the Compliance Department how many Lottery players you have reviewed as high-frequency prize winners?

A I don't know that off the top of my list, but we identified five at this time.

Q So that would be five since the regulation went into place?

A Yes.

	12
1	MR. CONSIDINE: Okay. Thank you. No further
2	questions.
3	MR. POLIN: Nothing further, but I would like to
4	recall her later.
5	MR. MAYO: Sure.
6	MR. POLIN: I would like to next call Paul
7	Mandeville to testify. And at this time I will hand out what
8	I request to be called Exhibit 3 which I believe the parties
9	had stipulated to?
10	MR. MAYO: We have.
11	(Exhibit 3 was marked into
12	evidence.)
13	PAUL MANDEVILLE, Sworn
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. POLIN:
16	Q Hello, Mr. Mandeville. Could you state your name
17	and spell it for the record, please?
18	A Paul Mandeville. M-a-n-d-e-v-i-l-l-e.
19	Q What is your position at the Lottery?
20	A Assistant executive director in charge of
21	Information Technology.
22	Q How long have you had that position?
23	A Twenty-two long years.
24	Q In front of you is Exhibit number 3. Are you
25	familiar with this document?

A

Q At this point I would like to hand to you the document that was previously marked as Exhibit 2. Are you familiar with Exhibit 2 also?

A Yes.

Yes.

Q Moving your attention to Exhibit 3, can you tell us where this document came from?

A This is an Excel spreadsheet that I created from data in the previous exhibit in the report. The previous report is one line per claim made by Mr. Jaafar. What I did was consolidate all of these claims into groups by game number. We have two types of games. One is draw games which is the first line of the Excel spreadsheet that I did. And then I lumped all the draw games into that under Game Number Zero. Draw games are games that the winner is determined by a drawing. So, it would be Powerball, Megamillions, Keno, Numbers, thinks that that.

The rest of them are instant games in which case the winners are not determined by a drawing but rather are determined by the prize structure in the working papers of the game that we develop when we are going to release a new game.

So, each of those games, each of the game numbers has a specific prize structure and a specific number of winners at each tier. And those tiers and those wins are

divided -- are distributed evenly across the game. We do that through a mechanism of books and small pools, large pools in the game so that all of the winning prizes are evenly distributed across the game. The instant product is not a random product. It is rather a well-defined product. So, I was able to determine specific statistics based of wins that Mr. Jaafar had and the prize structure of the games that were defined.

Q To help us better understand, can we go down to Game Number 25 and go line or line what information is here?

A Sure, the first column is the game number. Each instant ticket has a game number. This is Game Number 25.

The next column is the price point of all of the tickets in Game Number 25, which is \$20.

The third is the name of the game. It is Ten Million Dollar Big Money.

The next column is taken specifically from the prize structure defined in that game. That identifies the odds of winning a prize of \$1,000 or more. So, the odds in this game of winning \$1,000 or more are 1 in 1,106.72.

The payout per game is basically if all of the tickets were sold and their gross value -- at their sales point, the gross revenue for the game minus the prizes would determine this 80.4 percent prize payout for the entire game. So, that's all of the prizes, not just \$1,000 of more.

Every prize in the game would wind up being 80 percent of the gross revenue for the game.

Q And win count for this particular game?

- A That is taken directly from the previous report.

  So, in Game Number 25, Mr. Jaafar had won four times on Game

  Number 25. From this previous report.
- Q And Column G is entitled, 'Tickets required for win count.' Can you identify the number for Game Number 25 and explain how it was calculated?

A Right. In each of those columns in parentheses I put the actual calculation. So, it was Column D times Column F. Column D is the odds of winning, one winner of \$1,000 or more. Column F is the number of times that Mr. Jaafar won. So, it is simply -- the assumption is that for every 1,106.72 tickets, there would be one winner of \$1,000 or more. Times four. That means it would take 4,426.88 tickets to win four prizes of \$1,000 or more. In that game.

- Q Thank you. And what does Column H represent?
- A That is Column B times G, which is the number of tickets that were won times the price of each individual ticket. So, to win those -- I mean, to purchase those 4,4526 tickets would cost \$88,537.00.
- Q And Column I, can you explain what that means for this particular game?
  - A That is actually Column E times Column H, so it is

the prize payout for the game times the cost of all of the tickets that were purchased. So, if one purchased \$88,537.60 worth of tickets in that game, they would be expected a win \$71,184.23 for all prizes, not just \$1,000 or more.

Q Thank you. And does the last page of this report, page six of six, have total information at the bottom? Can you walk us through that?

A The box on the bottom shows 'draw games only,' that's 70 which equals the number of win count in the very first line of the first page of the report under Game Number Zero. As I said, I lumped all of the draw games together because those have a win -- the win is determined as a result of a draw. Instant games, the win is determined as a result of the definition of the game and the construction of the game.

So, I lumped all of the draw games and there were 70 of those. Instant games only, there were 321. The total of those is 391, which matches the count on the previous report. And those 391 tickets won \$532,223.25.

The one on the right that says 'instant game only' is an analysis of just the 321 instant game wins. Basically it is the sum of all of the columns above. Each one of those lines is the sum of Column G, then Column H, and then Column I. So, what that is saying is for those 321 instant games combined, there would have had to have been the purchase of

1,163,000 tickets to substantiate those wins at the rate of -- the odds of winning \$1,000 or more. The next one would be the cost of that, so that would cost \$8,431,248.00. And the last calculation is if one purchased \$8.4 million dollars on these games, you would be expected to win 6.6 million dollars in all prizes.

The box on the bottom is simply based on the number of tickets. So, it would be the 1.1 million tickets divided by the number of days. And if you look up at the top of the report, the period we are talking about is July 27, 2018 to January 27, 2019, which is 184 days. So, that 1.1 million divided by 184, equals 6,324 tickets per day that would have to have been purchased and scratched and determined whether they were winners. Divide that by 24 hours in a day, that is 263 per hour. Divided that by 60 minutes in an hour, it would have been 4.39 tickets every minute of every day 24 hours a day for that 184 days to result in those wins.

MR. POLIN: Thank you, Mr. Mandeville. I have no further questions.

MR. CONSIDINE: Thank you. I just have a couple.

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. CONSIDINE:

Q Mr. Mandeville, other than the two Excel spreadsheets that you have testified about today -- so far

1	today, how many others have you prepared for high-frequency
2	prize winners?
3	MR. POLIN: Objection. I'm not sure how it is
4	relevant to the prize claim suspension hearing for
5	Mr. Jaafar.
6	MR. MAYO: I'll overrule it and hear the answer.
7	A In this format, I have done five.
8	Q And have you done others in different formats?
9	A I've done some in testing out this format but
10	nothing that was beyond my internal work.
11	MR. CONSIDINE: Okay, thank you. No further
12	questions.
13	MR. MAYO: Anything further?
14	MR. POLIN: No. Nothing further. Thank you,
15	Mr. Mandeville.
16	(The witness was excused)
17	MR. POLIN: If I like to recall Maureen McHugh?
18	And I know if that Exhibit 2 and Exhibit 3 are still at the
19	witness seat for Miss McHugh's review?
20	MAUREEN McHUGH, recalled
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. POLIN:
23	Q Hello, Miss McHugh.
24	A Hello.
25	Q Are you familiar with the report that

Mr. Mandeville just discussed?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Did the Compliance Department review this Exhibit 3 when it reviewed Mr. Jaafar's Lottery prize claims?
  - A Yes, we did.
- Q And you did review Exhibit 2 also, I believe you mentioned earlier. Is that correct?
  - A Yes.
- Q So, based on the Compliance Department review of these documents, what did the Compliance Department determine at that time?
- A We determined it was factually and statistically improbable that Mr. Jaafar won this many prizes.
  - MR. POLIN: No further questions.
- 15 MR. CONSIDINE: I have nothing. Thank you.
- 16 (The witness was excused.)
- MR. MAYO: I'll give you the opportunity to close unless there are further witnesses to be called.
  - MR. POLIN: No further witnesses for the Lottery and I can be very brief in a closing. Simply put, that the regulation 961 CMR 2.43 allows the director designee to conduct an internal review of high-frequency prize winners. And if there is a determination that such claims are factually or statistically improbable, a prize claim
- 25 suspension may be imposed. And the Lottery is requesting the

Ì	20
1	director designee Attorney Mayo to affirm that prize claim
2	suspension for Mr. Jaafar.
3	MR. MAYO: Thank you, Mr. Polin.
4	MR. POLIN: Thank you.
5	MR. CONSIDINE: Mr. Mayo, the only thing I have is
6	one again I would like to have the April 26 letter from
7	Mr. Polin to me admitted as Exhibit 4, and the April 26
8	email from me to Mr. Polin introduced as Exhibit 5.
9	MR. POLIN: No objection.
10	(Exhibits 4 and 5 were marked into
11	evidence.)
12	MR. MAYO: We are all set. For the record, that's
13	the same exhibit, 4 and 5, as we used in the last hearing in
14	case anybody gets confused down the road.
15	MR. POLIN: Nothing further from me, thank you.
16	MR. CONSIDINE: Thank you. Nothing further from
17	us.
18	MR. MAYO: Off the record.
19	(The hearing was adjourned at 11:45 a.m.)

#### CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before: <a href="State Lottery Commission">State Lottery Commission</a>
in the Matter of:

MOHAMED JAAFAR,
Respondent.

Place: Dorchester, Massachusetts

Date: May 7, 2019

Were held as herein appears, and that this is the true, accurate and complete transcript prepared from the recordings taken of the above entitled proceeding.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{MaryAnn Rooney}} & \underline{\text{O5/07/19}} \\ \text{Official Reporter} & \underline{\text{Date}} \end{array}$ 

MaryAnn Rooney 06/11/19
Official Transcriber Date